Table 2 – Risk			
Scoring Table	Low (Score 1)	High (Score 2)	Score
Compliance History - inspections	Documented evidence from formal inspections over the previous three years reveal consistent and high levels of compliance in terms of welfare standards and risk management.	Formal inspections over the previous three years reveal some degree of non-compliance that has required the intervention of the inspector for the business to ultimately recognise and address these. More serious breaches would attract other enforcement action: suspension, revocation, prosecution.	
Compliance History – follow up action	No evidence of follow-up action by local authority in the last year apart from providing the licence holder with a copy of the inspection report, or sending them a letter identifying some minor, administrative areas for improvement (e.g. minor record keeping issues).	Follow up action by the local authority, such as sending them letters, triggered by low level non- compliance that is not addressed, or the business does not recognise the significance of the need to address the non- compliance.	
Compliance History – re- inspection	No re-inspection necessary (apart from standard unannounced inspection) before next planned licence inspection / renewal	Re-inspection necessary to ensure compliance.	
Complaint History – complaints to the LA	No complaints received direct to the LA that are justified in relation to welfare standards or procedural issues during the previous three years.	Low level substantiated complaints identifying concerns over the business / licence holder have been received within the previous three years.	
Complaint History – complaints to the business	Licence holder records and documents any feedback received directly, in order to demonstrate compliance and willingness to address issues, and can provide evidence of this.	Licence holder does not record feedback received directly or show willingness to address any issues identified.	
Appreciation of welfare standards - enrichment	Sound understanding by the licence holder of relevant environmental enrichment applicable to the activity (guided by expert advice), with demonstrated implementation.	Little environmental enrichment present, inconsistently used and its importance not understood or really valued.	
Appreciation of hazards / risks	Licence holder clearly understands their role and responsibilities under the legislation. Hazards to both staff and animals clearly understood, properly controlled and reviewed with supporting evidence where applicable.	Licence holder not fully engaged with their role/responsibilities, lacks time to fulfil role, no system for review and reassessment of hazards to both animals and staff.	

Appreciation of	A suitably planned maintenance,	No planned maintenance	
hazards / risks	repair and replacement program	program. Building, installations	
- maintenance	for infrastructure and equipment	and equipment allowed to	
- maintenance	is in place.	deteriorate before action is	
		implemented.	
Appreciation of	Staff have specialist and	Key staff lack experience /	
hazards / risks	appropriate knowledge of the	knowledge of the species. Staff	
– knowledge	taxa / species that are kept. There is sufficient staff, time and	appear overburdened and / or	
and experience	resource for daily, adequate	unsupported by management, corners being cut.	
-	routine monitoring, evidenced	comers being cut.	
	through records and staff rotas.		
Appreciation of	Clear defined roles /	Lack of any process, or ownership	
hazards / risks	responsibilities of staff, with	and responsibility within the	
 dealing with 	clear processes for reporting	business to identify and deal with	
issues	and addressing any identified issues.	issues.	
Welfare	Written procedures / policies	Limited written procedures /	
management	clearly documented,	polices. No overall strategic	
procedures –	implemented and reviewed	control or direction.	
written	appropriately.		
procedures			
Welfare	Appropriate supervision of staff	Inadequate supervision of staff	
management	evident where applicable.	evident on inspection or from the	
procedures –		training records.	
supervision of			
staff			
Welfare	All required records maintained	Poor standard of record keeping,	
management	and made available.	records out of date or appear to	
procedures –		be being manufactured – relevance of	
record keeping		records not appreciated.	
Welfare	Planned training programme for	Little or no evidence of relevant	
management	staff to review and assess	training or system for review and	
procedures -	competency, with documented	reassessment.	
training	training records.		